

CILEx Regulation - Regulatory performance assessment

REGULATORY APPROACH					AUTHORISATION					SUPERVISION				ENFORCEMENT						WELL-LED					
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6

Met		Not met – action being taken		Not met – action required	
-----	--	------------------------------	--	---------------------------	--

Action being taken

Outcome		S3: The regulated community are monitored to provide assurance that standards are met. If they are not, steps are taken to remedy this.
January 2019	LSB assessment	We cannot consider this outcome fully met until approaches addressing advocacy quality risks have been implemented. For example, monitoring plans and standards for CILEx advocates. We understand these will be incorporated into changes resulting from the CR's current education and training review.
	Action needed	CR to implement changes identified in its education and training review to address advocacy quality risks
	Timing	CR to implement changes identified in its advocacy review programme by 31 May 2019.
Progress update	LSB assessment	The education standards consultation, which includes proposals for the removal and replacement of QASA from CR's rules, closed in February 2019, although CR decided to

<p>(at June 2019)</p>		<p>extend the consultation period to allow stakeholders sufficient time to respond. The anticipated rule change application in relation to QASA has therefore been delayed until September 2019. Our recent relationship management meeting indicated that the implementation of an outcome-focussed and risk-based approach to supervision is in development.</p>
	<p>Action needed</p>	<p>CR to confirm plans for monitoring and responding to advocacy quality risks and its implementation plan. CR to submit a progress update to the LSB by 1 November 2019.</p>
	<p>Timing</p>	<p>The LSB will review progress in November 2019.</p>